

Properties of the Montréal functor

7 Mar

$G = GL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$. L/\mathbb{Q}_p fin. ext with uniformizer ϖ .

$$\Gamma := \mathbb{Z}_p^\times. \quad \mathcal{O}_\varepsilon^+ := \mathcal{O}_L[[T]] \quad , \quad \mathcal{O}_\varepsilon := \left\{ \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} a_k T^k \mid a_k \rightarrow 0, k \rightarrow -\infty \right\}$$

$$\Gamma, \varphi \text{ by } \sigma^a : T \mapsto (1+T)^a - 1 \\ \varphi : T \mapsto (1+T)^p - 1$$

A (φ, Γ) -module M is a top. \mathcal{O}_ε (or $\mathcal{O}_\varepsilon^+$) -module with cts actions of φ_M, σ_M^a st.

- actions commute w/ σ^a, φ .
- $\Gamma \times M \rightarrow M$ cts.

$$\text{Reprtors}(G) := \left\{ \text{smooth } \mathcal{O}_L[G]\text{-reps} + \text{finiteness conditions} \right\}$$

$$\bigcup_{\Pi} \text{e.g. } \Pi^k \text{ is finite length over } \mathcal{O}_L \Rightarrow \text{finite set.}$$

Montréal functor: $\text{ID} : \text{Reprtors}(G) \longrightarrow (\varphi, \Gamma)\text{-Mod}$

"informal construction"

$$\mathcal{O}_L[[T]] \longleftrightarrow \mathcal{O}_L \left[\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & \mathbb{Z}_p \\ & 1 \end{smallmatrix} \right) \right]$$

$$T+1 \longleftrightarrow \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & \\ & 1 \end{smallmatrix} \right)$$

$$\text{actions of } \varphi, \sigma^a \longleftrightarrow \begin{cases} \varphi \longrightarrow \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & x \\ & 1 \end{smallmatrix} \right) \longmapsto \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & px \\ & 1 \end{smallmatrix} \right) \\ \sigma^a \longrightarrow \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & x \\ & 1 \end{smallmatrix} \right) \longmapsto \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & ax \\ & 1 \end{smallmatrix} \right) \\ \varphi_M \longrightarrow \text{mult by } \begin{pmatrix} C^p & \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix} \end{cases}$$

\rightsquigarrow generate action of $P^+ := \left(\mathbb{Z}_p \right) \begin{smallmatrix} \circ? \\ \mathbb{Z}_p \\ 1 \end{smallmatrix}$

In general, $\Pi \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{W}(\Pi) = \text{"nice submods"}$

$$\bigcup \mathcal{W}^0(\Pi) = \text{"std presentation, ..."}$$

$$\mathcal{W}(\Pi) \ni W \rightsquigarrow D_W^{\natural}(\Pi)$$

$$\mathcal{W}^0(\Pi) \ni W^0 \rightsquigarrow D_{W^0}^+(\Pi) \in (\varphi, \Gamma)\text{-Mod}(\mathcal{O}_\varepsilon^+)$$

$$\text{ID}(\Pi) := \varprojlim_{W^0} D_{W^0}^+(\Pi) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_\varepsilon^+} \mathcal{O}_\varepsilon$$

$$D_W^{\natural}(\Pi) := \Phi(\mathcal{I}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(W))^{\vee} \quad \mathcal{I}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(W) = \left\{ \sum (P^n a) w \mid a + p^n \mathbb{Z}_p \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_p, a \in \mathbb{Q}_p \right\}$$

Today: $\mathcal{D}(\pi)$ is f.g. and étale.

§ étaleness $R = \mathcal{O}_E, \mathcal{O}_E^+$

Def: $M \in (\varphi, \Gamma)$ -Mod(R) is étale if the map

$\text{Id}_R \otimes \varphi_M : R \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_E} M \rightarrow M$ is an iso.

$$r \otimes m \mapsto r \otimes \varphi_M(m)$$

Lemma: $\psi : \bigoplus_{i=0}^{p-1} \pi^{\vee} \rightarrow \pi^{\vee}$

$$(\mu_0, \dots, \mu_{p-1}) \mapsto \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \binom{p-i}{i} \mu_i$$

restricts to an injective map w/ finite cokernel on $\mathcal{D}_W^+(\pi)$.

$$\omega : (\mathcal{D}_W^+(\pi))^{\oplus p} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_W^+(\pi)$$

Pf (sketch): Injectivity: Argue that $\mathcal{D}_W^+(\pi)$ is generated ^{over \mathcal{O}_L} by elements of the form $\mathbb{1}_{(p^i, a)_W} =: \mu_{n,a}$.

• $\text{supp}(\binom{p-i}{i} \mu_{n,a})$ are disjoint.

Almost surjectivity: $\text{coker } \psi \hookrightarrow \left\{ \mu \in \pi^{\vee} \mid \mu|_W = 0 = \mu_{(p^i)_W} \right\}$

and exact dual to $W + \sum \binom{p-i}{i} W$

Thm: $\mathcal{D}(\pi)$ is étale

Pf: $(\mu_i) \xrightarrow{\psi} \sum \binom{p-i}{i} \varphi(\mu_i)$ with finite cokernel

$$\Rightarrow \text{span}(\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{D}_W^+(\pi))) \subset \mathcal{D}_W^+(\pi)$$

finite index

$\rightsquigarrow \otimes \mathcal{O}_E$ is iso.

§ Finite generation of $\mathcal{D}(\pi)$

- { Colmez "ad hoc"
- { Emerton "algebra-based"

Key observation: M being f.g. $\iff M^{\vee}$ is "admissible"

- A DVR, uniformizer t , $k = A/tA$.
- $F \in \text{End}(A)$ local (i.e. $F(t) \in tA$) st. $F \in \text{End}(k)$ is trivial. killed by ω
- (for us, $A = k_E^+ := \mathcal{O}_L[[t]]/\omega$, π st. $\pi = \pi[\omega]$, $F = \varphi$)

- $M \in A\text{-Mod} \rightsquigarrow M[t] := \{m \in M \mid tm = 0\}$.
- M is admissible if $M[t]$ is f.d. / k + M is A -torsion.

Thm: The map $M \longmapsto \text{Hom}(M, \text{Frac}(A)/A) =: M^\vee$ (Gabriel '62)
is an anti-equiv. of categories.

$$\{\text{admissible } A\text{-modules}\} \longleftrightarrow \{\text{f.g. } \hat{A}\text{-modules}\}$$

\uparrow t -adic completion of A

Setup: $W \in \mathcal{W}(\pi)$, goal: show $\Phi(I_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(W))^\vee$ f.g. $\Leftrightarrow \Phi(I_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(W))$ adm.

Step 1: Reduce to $\pi = \pi[\omega]$ (by passing to quotients $\pi[\omega^k]/\pi[\omega^{k-1}]$)

Notation: $M(\pi, W) := k_E^+$ -submod. of π generated by W .

$$0 \longrightarrow M(\pi, W) \longrightarrow \pi \longrightarrow \pi/M(\pi, W) \longrightarrow 0$$

\curvearrowright tor exact sequence

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & M(\pi, W)[t] & \longrightarrow & \pi[t] & \longrightarrow & (\pi/M(\pi, W))[t] \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & & M(\pi, W)/t & \longrightarrow & \pi/t & \longrightarrow & (\pi/M(\pi, W))/t \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

$k[[F]]$ -torsion
b/c W good

(snake lemma)

Lemma: M is admissible $\Leftrightarrow M/tM$ is $k[[F]]$ -torsion

Claim: π/t is $k[[F]]$ -torsion

① $\pi/t \cong H_{\text{cts}}^1(N^0, \pi)$ F acts trivially.

$$\cong \mathcal{O}_L[[\mathbb{Z}_p]]$$

② This is f.g. / k by using (*)

This finishes proof of: Thm: $\mathcal{D}(\pi)$ is f.g.