

(φ_L, Γ_L) -modules.

Arun Soor

Almost everything below is lifted from [Sch17] so please see there for all the details. All typos and mistakes below are my own. As a disclaimer: I make no claim to understand p -adic Hodge theory.

1 Introduction

One of the goals of number theory is to understand the absolute Galois group of a number field. Since this is extremely difficult we attempt to simplify the problem by working “one place at a time”. Let L/\mathbb{Q}_p be a finite extension with ring of integers o and residue field k . By local class field theory we have the local Artin map

$$\text{rec} : L^\times \rightarrow G_L^{\text{ab}} \quad (1)$$

characterised by the property that “every uniformizer of L acts by the Frobenius”, and for every finite abelian extension L'/L , rec induces an isomorphism $L^\times / \text{Norm}_{L'/L}(L') \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Gal}(L'/L)$. In fact rec induces an isomorphism from the profinite completion

$$\text{rec} : \widehat{L^\times} \xrightarrow{\sim} G_L^{\text{ab}}. \quad (2)$$

In other words we have a near total understanding of the “1-dimensional” representations of G_L . We would like to understand $\text{Rep}_o(G_L) :=$ the category of finitely generated o -modules equipped with a continuous G_L -action. The paradigm of (φ_L, Γ_L) -modules is to understand this category by replacing the Galois action by a simpler group at the expense of introducing a much larger coefficient ring.

2 Definition of (φ_L, Γ_L) -modules

Let $\pi \in L$ be a uniformizer and set

$$\mathcal{A}_L := \varprojlim_m o((X))/\pi^m = \left\{ \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} a_i X^i : a_i \xrightarrow{i \rightarrow -\infty} 0 \right\}. \quad (3)$$

equipped with the Gauss norm/valuation this is a DVR with residue field $k((X))$. The ring \mathcal{A}_L can be viewed naturally as a subset of $o^\mathbb{Z}$ and hence acquires a second topology (besides the valuation topology), which is called the weak topology since it is the topology of coefficientwise convergence.

A Frobenius power series is an $\phi(X) \in o[[X]]$ such that $\phi(X) = X^q \pmod{\pi}$ and $\phi(X) = \pi X \pmod{X^2}$. The choice of ϕ yields a Lubin-Tate formal group law (depending only on π up to isomorphism), $F_\phi(X, Y) \in o[[X, Y]]$ such that $\phi \in \text{End}(F_\phi)$. Moreover there is an injective ring homomorphism $[\cdot]_\phi : o \rightarrow \text{End}(F_\phi)$ such that $[\pi]_\phi = \phi$. This gives an action of the monoid $o \setminus \{0\}$ on \mathcal{A}_L by $a \cdot f(X) := f([a]_\phi(X))$. Since $o \setminus \{0\} = \pi^{\mathbb{N}_0} o^\times$ this can be viewed as an action by $\Gamma_L := o^\times$ and the endomorphism φ_L sending $f(X) \mapsto f([\pi]_\phi(X))$. These actions are both continuous for the (weak) topology.

Example 2.1. When \$L = \mathbb{Q}_p\$ one takes \$\pi = p\$, \$\varphi = (1 + X)^p - 1\$, then \$F_\phi(X, Y) = (X + 1)(Y + 1) - 1\$ is the multiplicative law and \$[a]_\phi = (1 + X)^a - 1\$ for \$a \in \mathbb{Z}_p\$.

Any finitely generated \$\mathcal{A}_L\$-module \$M\$ acquires a canonical topology which is the quotient topology of the weak topology along any surjection \$\mathcal{A}_L^{\oplus n} \to M\$. The category of \$(\varphi_L, \Gamma_L)\$-modules is the category of finitely generated \$\mathcal{A}_L\$-modules \$M\$ equipped with a semilinear continuous action of \$\Gamma_L\$ and a commuting \$\varphi_L\$-linear continuous endomorphism \$\varphi_M : M \to M\$. A \$(\varphi_L, \Gamma_L)\$-module \$M\$ is called *étale* if the map \$\varphi_M^{\text{lin}} : \mathcal{A}_L \otimes_{\mathcal{A}_L, \varphi_L} M \to M\$ sending \$f \otimes m \mapsto f\varphi_M(m)\$, is an isomorphism¹. We will sketch the construction of the explicit equivalence

$$\text{Rep}_o(G_L) \cong \text{Mod}^{\text{et}}(\mathcal{A}_L) := \{\text{category of étale } (\varphi_L, \Gamma_L) \text{ - modules}\}. \tag{4}$$

3 A generalisation of the Fontaine-Winterberger theorem

Fix an algebraic closure \$\bar{L}\$ of \$L\$ inside \$\mathbb{C}_p\$. Let \$\mathfrak{M} \subset o_{\bar{L}}\$ be the maximal ideal and, for each \$n \ge 1\$ set \$\mathcal{F}_n := \ker([\pi^n]_\phi)(\mathfrak{M})\$ and \$L_n := L(\mathcal{F}_n)\$. Set \$T := \varprojlim_n \mathcal{F}_n\$ and \$L_\infty \bigcup_n L_n\$. Of course, \$\text{Gal}(L_n/L)\$ acts on \$\mathcal{F}_n\$. In fact \$\mathcal{F}_n\$ turns out to be a free rank 1 \$o/\pi^n o\$-module and hence \$T\$ is free of rank 1 as an \$o\$-module. Hence, the choice a basis element \$t \in T\$ (i.e., a compatible system of torsion points), induces the Lubin-Tate character

$$\chi_L : \text{Gal}(L_\infty/L) \to o^\times = \Gamma_L, \tag{5}$$

which turns out to be an isomorphism. The extensions \$L_n/L\$ are totally ramified, in particular, \$L_\infty\$ has residue field \$k\$.

Example 3.1. In our running example with \$L = \mathbb{Q}_p\$, \$\pi = p\$ and \$F_\phi = \widehat{\mathbb{G}}_m\$ we obtain \$\mathcal{F}_n = \{\zeta - 1 : \zeta^{p^n} = 1\}\$ and \$L_n = \mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta_{p^n})\$, and \$\chi_L\$ is the cyclotomic character.

Recall that an intermediate field \$L \subset K \subset \mathbb{C}_p\$ is called *perfectoid* if it is complete, indiscretely valued and \$(o_K/po_K)^p = o_K/po_K\$. Given such a field we set \$o_{K^\flat} := \varprojlim_{x \to x^q} o_K/po_K\$. This is a perfect \$k\$-algebra. Given a compatible system \$(\alpha_i)_i \in o_{K^\flat}\$ we can choose arbitrary lifts \$a_i\$ of \$\alpha_i\$ to \$o_K\$ and set \$\alpha^\sharp := \lim_{i \to \infty} a_i^{q^i}\$ to obtain a well-defined element \$\alpha^\sharp \in o_K\$. This map allows us to define a norm² \$|\cdot|_{K^\flat}\$ on \$o_{K^\flat}\$ by \$|\alpha|_{K^\flat} := |\alpha^\sharp|_K\$. With respect to the norm \$|\cdot|_{K^\flat}\$, \$o_{K^\flat}\$ has the same valuation monoid as \$o_K\$. The maximal ideal of \$o_{K^\flat}\$ is given in terms of \$|\cdot|_{K^\flat}\$ in the usual way and it turns out that the residue fields of \$o_K\$ and \$o_{K^\flat}\$ are canonically isomorphic. The fraction field \$K^\flat\$ of \$o_{K^\flat}\$ together with \$|\cdot|_{K^\flat}\$ is then a perfect nonarchimedean field of characteristic \$p\$.

We have two examples of perfectoid fields, namely \$\widehat{L}_\infty\$ and \$\mathbb{C}_p\$. The natural map \$o_{\widehat{L}_\infty}/\pi \to o_{\mathbb{C}_p}/\pi\$ is injective and hence \$\widehat{L}_\infty \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}_p^\flat\$ naturally. The ‘‘tilting correspondence’’ due to Scholze says that \$K \mapsto K^\flat\$ gives an inclusion-respecting bijection

$$\{\text{perfectoid fields } \widehat{L}_\infty \subset K \subset \mathbb{C}_p\} \leftrightarrow \{\text{complete and perfect fields } \widehat{L}_\infty^\flat \subset F \subset \mathbb{C}_p^\flat\} \tag{6}$$

¹Setting \$Y = \text{Spec}(\mathcal{A}_L)\$, we can informally think of this condition as some kind of \$\varphi_L\$-equivariance or descent datum.

²The multiplicativity of this map is immediate, and the additivity follows from the formulas:

$$\begin{aligned} |(\alpha + \beta)^\sharp| &= \left| \lim_{i \to \infty} (a_i + b_i)^{q^i} \right| = \lim_{i \to \infty} |a_i + b_i|^{q^i} \leq \lim_{i \to \infty} \max(|a_i|, |b_i|)^{q^i} \\ &= \max(\lim_{i \to \infty} |a_i|^{q^i}, \lim_{i \to \infty} |b_i|^{q^i}) = \max(|\alpha^\sharp|, |\beta^\sharp|). \end{aligned}$$

whose inverse is given by *untilting* $F \mapsto F^\sharp$ (we do not have time to discuss this).

The group G_L acts naturally on $o_{\mathbb{C}_p^b}$ by $\sigma \cdot (\dots, a_i \bmod \pi, \dots) = (\dots, \sigma(a_i) \bmod \pi, \dots)$. This preserves the norm $|\cdot|_{\mathbb{C}_p^b}$ and in fact induces a continuous action of G_L on \mathbb{C}_p^b . The action of $H_L := \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}/L_\infty) \subseteq G_L$ fixes $\widehat{L}_\infty \subseteq \mathbb{C}_p$ and $\widehat{L}_\infty^b \subseteq \mathbb{C}_p^b$, by continuity. Hence, we obtain a residual $\Gamma_L = G_L/H_L$ -action on \widehat{L}_∞^b .

Now let us return to the Tate module T of the Lubin-Tate formal group law F_ϕ . The Frobenius power series property implies that

$$\iota : T \mapsto o_{\widehat{L}_\infty^b} \quad (y_n)_{n \geq 1} \mapsto (\dots, y_n \bmod \pi o_{\widehat{L}_\infty^b}, \dots, y_1 \bmod \pi o_{\widehat{L}_\infty^b}, 0), \quad (7)$$

is a well-defined map (but not a homomorphism). The image of the basis element gives $\omega := \iota(t) \in o_{\widehat{L}_\infty^b}$. By the ramification theory of the Lubin-Tate extensions, it follows that $|\omega|_b = |\pi|^{q/(q-1)} < 1$. Hence $X \mapsto \omega$ gives a ring map $k[[X]] \rightarrow o_{\widehat{L}_\infty^b}$ which extends to $k((X)) \hookrightarrow \widehat{L}_\infty^b$. We define the *field of norms* $\mathbf{E}_L \cong k((X))$ to be the image of this map. This subfield and the map ι have the following properties:

- (i) For any $\gamma \in \Gamma_L$ we have $\gamma(\omega) = \overline{[\chi_L(\gamma)]_\phi}(\omega)$. In particular (by continuity) it follows that the Γ_L -action on \widehat{L}_∞^b preserves \mathbf{E}_L .
- (ii) $\widehat{\mathbf{E}_L^{\text{perf}}} = \widehat{L}_\infty^b$ and $\widehat{\mathbf{E}_L^{\text{sep}}} = \widehat{\mathbf{E}_L} = \mathbb{C}_p^b$; we say that $\widehat{\mathbf{E}_L^{\text{perf}}}$ (resp. $\mathbf{E}_L^{\text{sep}}$), is a *decompletion* of \widehat{L}_∞^b (resp. \mathbb{C}_p^b).

In the preceding we introduced the *perfect hull* $\mathbf{E}_L^{\text{perf}} := \{x \in \overline{\mathbf{E}_L} : x^{p^m} \in \mathbf{E}_L \text{ for some } m \geq 0\}$. By general field theory and the above facts, we obtain isomorphisms by restriction

$$\text{Aut}^{\text{cts}}(\mathbb{C}_p^b, \widehat{L}_\infty^b) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbf{E}_L}/\mathbf{E}_L^{\text{perf}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Gal}(\mathbf{E}_L^{\text{sep}}/\mathbf{E}_L) =: H_{\mathbf{E}_L}; \quad (8)$$

here the first is by continuity and the second is by property of the perfect hull. On the other hand we have by continuity an isomorphism

$$H_L = \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}/\widehat{L}_\infty) \xleftarrow{\sim} \text{Aut}^{\text{cts}}(\mathbb{C}_p, \widehat{L}_\infty), \quad (9)$$

and the untilting-tilting formalism gives a bijection

$$\text{Aut}^{\text{cts}}(\mathbb{C}_p, \widehat{L}_\infty) \rightarrow \text{Aut}^{\text{cts}}(\mathbb{C}_p^b, \widehat{L}_\infty^b), \quad \sigma \mapsto \sigma^b, \quad \sigma^\sharp \leftarrow \sigma, \quad (10)$$

which is in fact an isomorphism of topological groups (this is non-trivial to verify). The composite isomorphism $H_L \xrightarrow{\sim} H_{\mathbf{E}_L}$ is identified with $\sigma \mapsto \sigma^b$.

Example 3.2. *In our running example with $L = \mathbb{Q}_p$, $\pi = p$, $F_\phi = \widehat{\mathbb{G}}_m$ one has $L_\infty = \mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta_{p^\infty})$. Fixing a compatible system $(\zeta_{p^n})_n$, we obtain $\omega := (\dots, \zeta_{p^2} - 1 \bmod p, \zeta_p - 1 \bmod p, 0) \in o_{\widehat{L}_\infty^b}$ and $\mathbb{F}_p((X)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ via $X \mapsto \omega$. Then (ii) above tells us that this gives $\widehat{\mathbb{F}_p((X))} \xrightarrow{\sim} \widehat{L}_\infty^b$. Restriction of the tilted action to $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^{\text{sep}}$ gives an isomorphism $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(\zeta_{p^\infty}) \cong G_{\mathbb{F}_p}((X))$.*

4 The coefficient ring revisited

In the previous section we constructed an embedding $k((X)) \hookrightarrow \widehat{L}_\infty^b$ whose image was defined to be \mathbf{E}_L . We would now like to lift this to an algebra morphism j :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{A}_L & \xhookrightarrow{j} & W(\mathbf{E}_L)_L \\ \text{mod } \pi \downarrow & & \downarrow \Phi_0 \\ k((X)) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \mathbf{E}_L \end{array} \quad (11)$$

such that j is equivariant for the Γ_L -actions (the Γ_L -action on $W(\mathbf{E}_L)_L$ being induced by functoriality of the ramified Witt vector construction) and sends the action of φ_L to the Frobenius Fr on $W(\mathbf{E}_L)_L$. Here Φ_0 is the 0th ghost component map. In order to construct such a morphism we need to specify the image of $X \in \mathcal{A}_L$, in other words, we need to lift $\omega \in \mathbf{E}_L$ to an element $\omega_\phi \in W(\mathbf{E}_L)_L$. One would usually use the Teichmüller representative $\tau : \mathbf{E}_L \rightarrow W(\mathbf{E}_L)_L$ to achieve this, however, it doesn't have the right equivariance properties, and so it needs to be modified.

Let $\mathbb{M}_{\mathbf{E}_L} := \Phi_0^{-1}(\mathfrak{m}_{\mathbf{E}_L}) \subseteq W(\mathbf{E}_L)_L$; this is a maximal ideal. Via the Lubin-Tate formal group law F_ϕ , $\mathbb{M}_{\mathbf{E}_L}$ acquires the structure of an \mathfrak{o} -module. It turns out that $[\pi]_\phi \circ \text{Fr}^{-1}$ is a well-defined \mathfrak{o} -module endomorphism of $\mathbb{M}_{\mathbf{E}_L}$. Ignoring questions of convergence we can define an \mathfrak{o} -module endomorphism

$$\{\cdot\} : \mathbb{M}_{\mathbf{E}_L} \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_{\mathbf{E}_L} \quad \{\alpha\} := \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} ([\pi]_\phi \circ \text{Fr}^{-1})^i(\alpha), \quad (12)$$

the definition of $\{\alpha\}$ is rigged so that $[\pi]_\phi(\{\alpha\}) = \text{Fr}(\{\alpha\})$. Hence, if one defines

$$\iota_\phi := \text{the composite } (T \xrightarrow{\iota} \mathfrak{m}_{\mathbf{E}_L} \xrightarrow{\tau} \mathbb{M}_{\mathbf{E}_L} \xrightarrow{\{\cdot\}} \mathbb{M}_{\mathbf{E}_L}) \quad (13)$$

then one can verify that $\text{Fr}(\iota_\phi(t)) = \iota_\phi(\pi \cdot t)$. It turns out that $\Phi_0 \iota_\phi = \iota$ and ι_ϕ also has the right Γ_L -equivariance.

Therefore we choose $\omega_\phi := \iota_\phi(t)$ and the \mathfrak{o} -algebra map $j : \mathcal{A}_L \rightarrow W(\mathbf{E}_L)_L$ is determined by $X \mapsto \omega_\phi$. This is Γ_L -equivariant and satisfies $j \circ \varphi_L = \text{Fr} \circ j$. It follows that the image $\mathbf{A}_L := \text{im}(j)$ is equipped with a (φ_L, Γ_L) -action, which coincides with that inherited from the (Fr, Γ_L) action on $W(\mathbf{E}_L)_L$. The map j also turns out to be a topological embedding for the respective weak topologies so that $j : \mathcal{A}_L \rightarrow \mathbf{A}_L$ is a topological isomorphism.

We now “redefine” the category of (φ_L, Γ_L) -modules by replacing instances of \mathcal{A}_L in the previous definition by \mathbf{A}_L .

5 The functors

By the previous we have constructed a (Fr, Γ_L) -stable subalgebra $\mathbf{A}_L \subseteq W(\mathbf{E}_L)_L$, which is naturally contained in $W(\mathbf{E}_L^{\text{sep}})_L$. We define \mathbf{B}_L to be the fraction field of \mathbf{A}_L : note that the residue field of \mathbf{B}_L is identified with \mathbf{E}_L . The next technical input (which we do not have time to prove) is the following:

Proposition 5.1. *There is a unique intermediate ring*

$$\mathbf{A}_L \subseteq \mathbf{A}_L^{\text{nr}} \subseteq W(\mathbf{E}_L^{\text{sep}})_L \quad (14)$$

such that:

- \mathbf{A}_L^{nr} is a complete DVR with uniformizer π ;
- $\mathbf{B}_L^{\text{nr}} := \text{Frac}(\mathbf{A}_L^{\text{nr}})$ is the unique subextension of $\text{Frac}(W(\mathbf{E}_L^{\text{sep}})_L)$ which is a maximal unramified extension of \mathbf{E}_L ;
- $\Phi_0 : \mathbf{A}_L^{\text{nr}}/\pi \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{E}_L^{\text{sep}}$ is an isomorphism;
- \mathbf{A}_L^{nr} is preserved by the Frobenius Fr and the G_L action inherited from $W(\mathbf{E}_L^{\text{sep}})_L$ (the latter coming from tilting equivalence); also H_L fixes \mathbf{A}_L .

Finally we define

$$\mathbf{A} := \text{closure of } \mathbf{A}_L^{\text{nr}} \subseteq W(\mathbf{E}_L^{\text{sep}})_L \text{ w.r.t the } \pi\text{-adic topology.} \quad (15)$$

Since the G_L -action on Witt vectors is ‘‘coefficientwise’’, we see that the G_L -action commutes with Fr and $(W(\mathbf{E}_L^{\text{sep}})_L)^{\text{Fr}=1} = W(k)_L = o$. In particular $\mathbf{A}^{\text{Fr}=1} = o$. Hence, we can define, for $M \in \text{Mod}^{\text{et}}(\mathbf{A}_L)$, the o -linear G_L -representation

$$\mathcal{V}(M) := (\mathbf{A} \otimes_{\mathbf{A}_L} M)^{\text{Fr} \otimes \varphi_M = 1}, \quad (16)$$

here G_L acts diagonally and through the residual Γ_L -action on M .

On the other hand, by the property of unramified extensions, the G_L -action on $W(\mathbf{E}_L^{\text{sep}})_L$ gives natural isomorphisms

$$H_L \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Gal}(\mathbf{B}_L^{\text{nr}}/\mathbf{B}_L) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Gal}(\mathbf{E}_L^{\text{sep}}/\mathbf{E}_L), \quad (17)$$

so it is not so surprising (though, we do not prove it), that $\mathbf{A}^{H_L} = \mathbf{A}_L$. Given $V \in \text{Rep}_o(G_L)$, the \mathbf{A} -module $\mathbf{A} \otimes_o V$ acquires the diagonal G_L -action and the Fr -linear endomorphism $\varphi := \text{Fr} \otimes \text{id}$. Thus the \mathbf{A}_L -module

$$\mathcal{D}(V) := (\mathbf{A} \otimes_o V)^{H_L} \quad (18)$$

acquires a residual Γ_L -action and a commuting $\varphi_{\mathcal{D}(V)} := \varphi|_{\mathcal{D}(V)}$ -action. The main theorem is

Theorem 5.2 (Fontaine, Kisin-Ren, Colmez, Schneider). *The functors*

$$\mathcal{V} : \text{Mod}^{\text{et}}(\mathbf{A}_L) \rightleftarrows \text{Rep}_o(G_L) : \mathcal{D}, \quad (19)$$

give an equivalence of categories.

Implicit in this is of course the fact that the functors are well-defined, i.e., $\mathcal{V}(M)$ and $\mathcal{D}(V)$ are finitely generated, the actions are continuous and $\mathcal{D}(V)$ is ‘‘étale’’. We give a sketch of the proof in the case of π -torsion coefficients, i.e., the equivalence

$$\mathcal{V} : \text{Mod}^{\text{et}}(\mathbf{E}_L) \rightleftarrows \text{Rep}_k(G_L) : \mathcal{D}, \quad (20)$$

given by $\mathcal{V}(M) := (\mathbf{E}_L^{\text{sep}} \otimes_{\mathbf{E}_L} M)^{\varphi=1}$ and $\mathcal{D}(V) := (\mathbf{E}_L^{\text{sep}} \otimes_k V)^{H_L}$. For the general case one can use a *dévissage* argument to bootstrap this to π^m -torsion coefficients and then take limits.

By an argument involving Hilbert 90 the $\mathbf{E}_L^{\text{sep}}$ -vector space $\mathbf{E}_L^{\text{sep}} \otimes_k V$ has a basis by H_L -fixed vectors. Using this basis it is easily verified that $\mathcal{D}(V)$ is finitely generated and the natural morphism

$$\mathbf{E}_L^{\text{sep}} \otimes_{\mathbf{E}_L} \mathcal{D}(V) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{E}_L^{\text{sep}} \otimes_k V \quad (21)$$

is an isomorphism (one says that \$V\$ is *admissible*). Hence using (21) we calculate

$$\mathcal{V}(\mathcal{D}(V)) = (\mathbf{E}_L \otimes_{\mathbf{E}_L} \mathcal{D}(V))^{\varphi=1} \xrightarrow{\sim} (\mathbf{E}_L^{\text{sep}} \otimes_k V)^{\varphi=1} = (\mathbf{E}_L^{\text{sep}})^{\text{Fr}=1} \otimes_k V = V. \quad (22)$$

On the other hand, for \$M \in \text{Mod}^{\text{et}}(\mathbf{E}_L)\$ it is a consequence of Galois/étale descent (here is where we use that \$\varphi_M^{\text{lin}}\$ is an isomorphism), that

$$\dim_k \mathcal{V}(M)^{\varphi=1} = \dim_{\mathbf{E}_L^{\text{sep}}} \mathbf{E}_L^{\text{sep}} \otimes_{\mathbf{E}_L} M = \dim_{\mathbf{E}_L} M \quad (23)$$

and the natural map

$$\mathbf{E}_L^{\text{sep}} \otimes_k \mathcal{V}(M) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{E}_L^{\text{sep}} \otimes_{\mathbf{E}_L} M, \quad (24)$$

is an isomorphism. Hence using (24) we calculate

$$\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{V}(M)) = (\mathbf{E}_L^{\text{sep}} \otimes_k \mathcal{V}(M))^{H_L} \xrightarrow{\sim} (\mathbf{E}_L^{\text{sep}} \otimes_{\mathbf{E}_L} M)^{H_L} = (\mathbf{E}_L^{\text{sep}})^{H_L} \otimes_{\mathbf{E}_L} M = M. \quad (25)$$

which completes our proof sketch.

References

- [Sch17] Peter Schneider. *Galois representations and \$(\varphi, \Gamma)\$-modules*. Vol. 164. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2017, pp. vii+148. ISBN: 978-1-107-18858-7. DOI: 10.1017/9781316981252. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1017/9781316981252>.