

Goal. Classify all smooth, admissible, irreducible representations of $GL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ over $k = \text{finite ext. of } \mathbb{F}_p, p \neq 2$.

- Def. • A rep. of G over k : $\pi: G \longrightarrow GL(V), V = k\text{-v.sp.}$
- Smooth if $\text{Stab}_G(v) \subseteq G$ is open $\forall v \in V$
 - Admissible if $\dim_k(V^I) < \infty \quad \forall \text{ compact open } I \leq G$

Note: • over \mathbb{C} , smooth, irred \Rightarrow admissible,
• over k , $\not\Rightarrow$.

let: $K_1 := \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \text{ mod } p \right\} \subseteq I_1 = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & * \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \text{ mod } p \right\} \subseteq K := GL_2(\mathbb{Z}_p)$

Prop. (V, π) smooth, non-zero, over k

- $V^{K_1} \neq 0 \quad \& \quad V^{I_1} \neq 0$ [depth 0]
- V finite-dimensional \Rightarrow
 $V = \chi \circ \det, \chi: \mathbb{Q}_p^\times \longrightarrow k_E^\times$ s.t. $\chi(1+p\mathbb{Z}) = 1$ [depth 0]
- V admissible $\Leftrightarrow \dim_C V^{I_1} < \infty$.

Prop. $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{smooth, irred.} \\ \text{reps of } k \end{array} \right\}_{\cong} \longleftrightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{irred. reps} \\ \text{of } GL_2(\mathbb{F}_p) \end{array} \right\}_{\cong}$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} W & \xrightarrow{\quad} & W = W^{K_1} \hookrightarrow k/k \cong GL_2(\mathbb{F}_p) \\ W & \xleftarrow{\quad} & W \end{array}$$

Rule. Only reps of $GL_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$ over k are $W_{r,s} := \text{Sym}^r(k^2) \otimes \det^s$
(No "cuspidal" reps like over \mathbb{C} ...) $0 \leq r \leq p-1, 0 \leq s \leq p-1$.

key definition. For W = smooth irrep. of K (extend to trivial \mathbb{Z} -action)

- $c\text{-Ind}_{K\mathbb{Z}}^G(W) := \left\{ f: G \longrightarrow W \mid \begin{array}{l} f(kzg) = k f(g) \\ \text{locally constant} \\ \text{image of supp } f \text{ in } K\mathbb{Z} \setminus G \text{ is compact} \end{array} \right\}$
 - $\mathcal{H}_{K\mathbb{Z}}(W) := \text{End}_G(c\text{-Ind}_{K\mathbb{Z}}^G(W))$ Hecke algebra
 - $\cong \left\{ \varphi: G \longrightarrow \text{End}(W) : \begin{array}{l} \varphi(kzgk'z') = k \circ \varphi(g) \circ k' \\ K\mathbb{Z} \setminus \text{supp}(\varphi) / K\mathbb{Z} \text{ compact} \end{array} \right\}$
- with convolution.

Theorem (Hecke, mod p Satake). $\mathcal{H}_{K\mathbb{Z}}(W) \cong k[T_p]$,

(Bartel-Lamé)

T_p corresp. to $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & p \end{bmatrix}$

Note: giving $\lambda: \mathcal{H}_{K\mathbb{Z}}(W) \longrightarrow k \iff$ giving $a_p \in k$, T_p - eigenvalue.

Def. Given $0 \leq r \leq p-1$, $a_p \in k$, $\chi: \mathbb{Q}_p^\times \longrightarrow k^\times$ smooth character,

$$\pi(r, \lambda, \chi) := \frac{c\text{-Ind}_{K\mathbb{Z}}^G(\text{Sym}^r k)}{(T_p - a_p)} \otimes (\chi \circ \det).$$

Note: given $a_p \in k^\times$, $\mu_{a_p}: \mathbb{Q}_p^\times \longrightarrow k^\times$

$$\begin{aligned} p &\longmapsto a_p \\ \mathbb{Z}_p^\times &\longmapsto 1 \end{aligned}$$

Thm (Barthel-Liné, Breuil). ($\omega = \text{cyclotomic character}$)

- ① $\pi(r, a_p, \chi)$ is smooth and admissible, central char. $w^r \chi^2$
- ② $\pi(r, a_p, \chi)$ is irreducible unless $a_p = \pm 1$ and $r = 0, p-1$
- ③ For $a_p = \pm 1$, $r = 0, p-1$, we have:

$$0 \longrightarrow St \otimes (\chi \mu_{a_p} \circ \det) \longrightarrow \pi(0, a_p, \chi) \longrightarrow \chi \mu_{a_p} \circ \det \longrightarrow 0$$

$$0 \longrightarrow \chi \mu_{a_p} \circ \det \longrightarrow \pi(p-1, a_p, \chi) \longrightarrow St \otimes (\chi \mu_{a_p} \circ \det) \longrightarrow 0$$

where $St := \frac{\{ \text{loc. const. } \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p) \rightarrow k \}}{\{ \text{const. } \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p) \rightarrow k \}}$ (Steinberg rep.)

is irreducible.

- ④ $\pi(r, a_p, \chi)$ is "supercuspidal" $\Leftrightarrow a_p = 0$. (supersingular)
- ⑤ These are all smooth irred. adm. reps of $GL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ over k .

Def. $(\pi, V) = \text{irred. adm. rep. of } G$

- supercuspidal if not subquotient of any $\text{Ind}_B^G(\psi_1 \otimes \psi_2)$
 $\psi_1, \psi_2: \mathbb{Q}_p^\times \longrightarrow k$, smooth.
- Jacquet module V_N $[V_N = V / \langle n \cdot v - v \mid v \in V, n \in N \rangle]$

Note: $V_N \neq 0 \Leftrightarrow V \cong \text{subrep. of } \text{Ind}_B^G(\psi_1 \otimes \psi_2)$, some ψ_1, ψ_2 .

Thm. ① $\text{Ind}_B^G(\psi_1 \otimes \psi_2)$ is smooth & admissible, central char. $\psi_1 \cdot \psi_2$.

② $\text{Ind}_B^G(\psi_1 \otimes \psi_2)$ irreducible if $\psi_1 \neq \psi_2$, no intertwiners between them

③ If $\psi_1 = \psi_2 = \psi$, then \exists non-split ses:

$$0 \longrightarrow \psi \circ \det \longrightarrow \text{Ind}_B^G(\psi \otimes \psi) \longrightarrow St \otimes \psi \circ \det \longrightarrow 0$$

④ St is not supercuspidal but $V_N = 0$.

Comparison to reps over \mathbb{C} :

- in ② also reducible if $\psi_1 = \psi_2 \cdot |\cdot|^{±2}$
- in ③ also have:

$$0 \longrightarrow St_B \Psi \circ \det \longrightarrow \text{Ind}_B^G(\Psi \delta_B \otimes \Psi \delta_B^{-1}) \rightarrow \Psi \circ \det \longrightarrow 0.$$

\Rightarrow supercuspidal $\Leftrightarrow V_N = 0$ already irreducible!

- to get all supercuspidals, need "lower depth", i.e. $c\text{-}\overline{\text{Ind}_{K_r}^G(W)}$
 $K_r = \{T_0^r \otimes \mathbb{Z} \text{ mod } p^r\}$, $W = \text{rep. of } K_r$.

Comparison between two constructions of non-supercuspidals.

Cor. For $a_p \neq 0$, $r \in \{0, \dots, p-1\}$, $\chi : \mathbb{Q}_p^\times \longrightarrow k$ smooth
 $\pi(r, a_p, \chi)^{\text{ss}} \cong \text{Ind}_B^G(\chi \mu_{1/a_p}, \chi \omega^r \mu_{1/a_p})^{\text{ss}}$.

Final result: Which supercuspidals are isomorphic?

Thm. $\pi(r, 0, \chi) \cong \pi(r, 0, \chi \mu_{-1}) \cong \pi(p-1-r, 0, \chi \omega^r) \cong \pi(p-1-r, 0, \chi \omega^r \mu_{-1})$

Why not $GL_n(F)$ for F / \mathbb{Q}_p finite?

- Heuzig: \rightarrow classification of non-supercuspidal reps
in terms of parabolic induction ✓
 \rightarrow mod p Satake ✓
- In fact, generalizes to any conn. red. G
(Abe, Abe-Heumant - Heuzig - Vigneras)
[Better than \mathbb{C} -coefficients!]

- Classification of supercuspidals? Open even for $GL_2(F)$, $F \neq \mathbb{Q}_p$:

$$\frac{c\text{-Ind}_{K2}^G(w)}{(T_p)}$$

is (in general)

- not admissible
- ∞ -length
- has ∞ many irred. adm. s.s. quotients
- has irred. non-admissible quotients

Why? Proof of irred. for $\frac{c\text{-Ind}_{K2}^G(w)}{(T_p)}$ for $GL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ involves

taking invariants by $U_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \mathbb{Z}_p \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \cong \mathbb{Z}_p$, which is not exact,
but \mathbb{Z}_p has coh. dim. 1 \Rightarrow never have to go above H' .

For $F \neq \mathbb{Q}$, coh. dim grows with $[F : \mathbb{Q}_p]$

\Rightarrow hard to control the rep. theory.

[Worse than C -coefficients.]

- Fascinating work of Paskunas, Paskunas-Breuil, Hu ...
for one Galois rep ρ , $\exists \infty$ -many reps π of $GL_2(F)$
"corresponding to it".