

# SUPERCUSPIDAL REPRESENTATIONS AND THE LOCAL LANGLANDS CORRESPONDENCE

ZACHARY FENG

## 1. SUPERCUSPIDAL REPRESENTATIONS

Let  $G$  be a locally profinite group. Let  $(\pi, V)$  be a smooth representation of  $G$ .

**Definition 1.** *The smooth dual of  $V$  is a representation  $(\pi^\vee, V^\vee)$  of  $G$  where*

$$V^\vee := \bigcup_{\substack{K \subset G \\ \text{open compact}}} (V^*)^K \subseteq V^* := \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(V, \mathbb{C}).$$

**Proposition 2.** *If  $V$  is smooth and admissible, then*

- (i)  $V^\vee$  is smooth and admissible,
- (ii) the canonical map  $V \rightarrow (V^\vee)^\vee$  is an isomorphism,
- (iii) if  $V$  is irreducible, then so is  $V^\vee$ .

For  $v \in V$  and  $\lambda \in V^\vee$ , we can form their *matrix coefficient*

$$\begin{aligned} m_{v,\lambda} : G &\rightarrow \mathbb{C} \\ g &\mapsto \lambda(gv). \end{aligned}$$

**Definition 3.** *A smooth admissible representation  $(\pi, V)$  of  $G$  is called supercuspidal if all of its matrix coefficients are compactly supported modulo the centre, i.e. there exists a compact subset  $\Omega \subset G$  such that  $\text{supp}(m_{v,\lambda}) \subset Z\Omega$ .*

**Proposition 4.** *If  $(\pi, V)$  is irreducible, then it suffices to check that a single matrix coefficient has compact support modulo the centre.*

*Proof.* Since  $V^\vee$  is also irreducible, any  $v' \in V$ , resp.  $\lambda' \in V^\vee$ , is a linear combination of elements of the form  $gv$ , resp.  $h\lambda$ , for  $g, h \in G$ . Then  $m_{v',\lambda'}$  is a linear combination of matrix coefficients of the form

$$m_{gv,h\lambda} : x \mapsto \lambda(h^{-1}xgv)$$

which has compact support modulo the centre. □

Let  $\mathbb{G}$  be a connected reductive algebraic group over a non-archimedean local field  $F$ . Consider its  $F$ -points  $G = \mathbb{G}(F)$ .

**Proposition 5.** *Let  $H$  be an open subgroup of  $G$  containing the centre, and compact modulo the centre. Let  $(\sigma, W)$  be an irreducible finite dimensional representation of  $H$ . If*

$$\text{cInd}_H^G W := \left\{ f : G \rightarrow W \left| \begin{array}{l} f \text{ has compact support modulo the centre} \\ \text{and } f(hg) = \sigma(h)f(g) \text{ for all } h \in H, g \in G \end{array} \right. \right\}$$

*is irreducible and admissible, then it is supercuspidal.*

*Proof.* By irreducibility, it suffices to construct a single matrix coefficient that is compact modulo the centre. By finite-dimensionality of  $W$ , choose  $0 \neq w \in W$  and  $0 \neq \lambda \in W^*$  such that  $\lambda(w) \neq 0$ . Define  $f_w \in \text{cInd}_H^G W$  and  $f_\lambda \in \text{cInd}_H^G(W^*)$  by the formulas

$$f_w(g) = \begin{cases} \sigma(g)w & \text{if } g \in H, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad f_\lambda(g) = \begin{cases} \sigma^*(g)\lambda & \text{if } g \in H, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We can view  $f_\lambda$  as an element of  $(\text{cInd}_H^G W)^\vee$  as follows: for  $f \in \text{cInd}_H^G W$ , set

$$\langle f_\lambda, f \rangle = \langle f_\lambda(1), f(1) \rangle \in \mathbb{C}$$

where the second pairing is the canonical one between  $W^*$  and  $W$ . This identifies  $f_\lambda$  with the element  $\langle f_\lambda, - \rangle \in (\text{cInd}_H^G W)^\vee$ . We now form the matrix coefficient

$$m_{f_w, f_\lambda}(g) := \langle f_\lambda, g f_w \rangle = \langle f_\lambda(1), (g f_w)(1) \rangle = \langle \lambda, f_w(g) \rangle.$$

It is non-zero, since  $m_{f_w, f_\lambda}(1) = \langle \lambda, w \rangle \neq 0$ . It is compactly supported modulo the centre because  $\text{supp}(m_{f_w, f_\lambda}) \subset \text{supp } f_w \subset H$ .  $\square$

**Conjecture 6.** *All supercuspidals arise in this way.*

Let  $P = MN$  be the Levi decomposition of a proper parabolic subgroup  $P$  of  $G$ . Let  $(\pi, V)$  be a smooth admissible representation of  $G$ . Set

$$\begin{aligned} V(N) &:= \text{span}\{\pi(n)v - v : n \in N\}, \\ V_N &:= V/V(N). \end{aligned}$$

Then  $M$  acts on  $V_N$  by  $\pi|_M$ .

**Definition 7.** *The module  $J_P(V) = V_N$  with  $M$ -action given by*

$$\pi_N := \pi|_M \otimes \delta_P^{-1/2}$$

*is called the Jacquet module of  $(\pi, V)$  with respect to  $P$ . This is an (exact) functor*

$$J_P : \{\text{smooth } G\text{-representations}\} \rightarrow \{\text{smooth } M\text{-representations}\}.$$

**Proposition 8.**  *$J_P$  is left adjoint to  $\text{nInd}_P^G$ , i.e. there is an isomorphism*

$$\text{Hom}_G(V, \text{nInd}_P^G W) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_M(J_P(V), W)$$

*for all  $G$ -representations  $V$  and  $M$ -representations  $W$ .*

**Theorem 9** (Jacquet). *(i)  $J_P(V)$  is admissible if  $V$  is admissible.*

*(ii) A smooth irreducible admissible representation  $(\pi, V)$  is supercuspidal if and only if  $J_P(V) = 0$  for all proper parabolic subgroups  $P \subsetneq G$ .*

**Theorem 10.** *If  $(\pi, V)$  is a smooth irreducible admissible representation of  $G$ , then there exists a parabolic subgroup  $P \subset G$  with Levi decomposition  $P = MN$  and a supercuspidal representation  $(\sigma, W)$  of  $M$  such that  $(\pi, V)$  is isomorphic to a subrepresentation of*

$$\text{nInd}_P^G W.$$

*Proof.* Since  $V$  is irreducible, it suffices to show there exists a non-zero  $G$ -equivariant map

$$V \rightarrow \text{nInd}_P^G W$$

for some  $(\sigma, W)$  as in the statement of the theorem. We induct on  $\dim G$ : the dimension of  $G$  as an algebraic group. If  $\dim G = 1$ , then it is a torus and equals its centre, so any function on  $G$  is compactly supported modulo the centre.

Assume  $\dim G > 1$ . First, assume there are no  $G$ -equivariant maps

$$V \rightarrow \mathrm{nInd}_P^G W$$

for any proper parabolic  $P = MN$  and smooth admissible representation  $(\sigma, W)$  of  $M$ . Then by the adjunction of  $J_P$  and  $\mathrm{nInd}_P^G$  and the fact that  $J_P(V)$  is admissible, we have that  $J_P(V) = 0$  for all proper parabolic subgroups  $P$ . In this case,  $V$  is supercuspidal.

Now assume there is a proper parabolic  $P = MN$ , a smooth admissible (not necessarily supercuspidal) representation  $(\sigma, W)$  of  $M$ , and a non-zero  $G$ -equivariant map

$$V \rightarrow \mathrm{nInd}_P^G W.$$

By adjunction, there is a non-zero  $M$ -equivariant map

$$J_P(V) \rightarrow W.$$

Since  $P$  is proper, we have  $\dim M < \dim G$ , and so our induction hypothesis implies there exists a parabolic subgroup  $Q$  of  $M$  with Levi subgroup  $L$ , a supercuspidal representation  $(\rho, U)$  of  $L$ , and a non-zero  $M$ -equivariant map

$$W \rightarrow \mathrm{nInd}_Q^M U.$$

Composing with the map  $J_P(V) \rightarrow W$ , and applying adjunction again, we get

$$V \rightarrow \mathrm{nInd}_P^G(\mathrm{nInd}_Q^M U).$$

It can be shown that  $QN$  is a parabolic subgroup of  $G$  with Levi subgroup  $L$ . Finally, we apply the transitivity of induction to obtain

$$\mathrm{nInd}_P^G(\mathrm{nInd}_Q^M U) = \mathrm{nInd}_{QN}^G U. \quad \square$$

The two pictures that we are trying to paint are **(1)** "supercuspidal representations are precisely the ones that do not come from parabolic induction", i.e. they are new for  $G$ , and **(2)** "supercuspidal representations generate all irreducible admissible representations". The following definition/theorem elaborates on this idea for  $G = \mathrm{GL}_n(F)$ .

**Theorem 11** ([GH11] 14.5.6). *Let  $(\pi, V)$  be an irreducible smooth representation of  $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$ . Then there exists a unique unordered partition  $\kappa = (\kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_r)$  of  $n$  and an unordered tuple  $(\pi_1, \dots, \pi_r)$  of supercuspidal representations, unique up to isomorphism, satisfying*

- (i)  $\pi_i$  is a supercuspidal representation of  $\mathrm{GL}_{\kappa_i}(F)$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq r$ ,
- (ii)  $\pi$  is isomorphic to a subquotient of  $\mathrm{nInd}_P^G(\pi_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \pi_r)$  where  $P$  is the standard parabolic subgroup of  $G$  associated to the partition  $\kappa$ .

The unordered tuple  $(\pi_1, \dots, \pi_r)$  is called the supercuspidal support of  $\pi$ .

For the rest of these notes, let  $G = \mathrm{GL}_n(F)$ .

- Definition 12** (Segments). (i) For any representation  $\pi$  of  $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$ , and any integer  $s$ , we write  $\pi(s) := \pi \otimes |\det|^s$ .
- (ii) A segment is a set of isomorphism classes of irreducible supercuspidal representations of  $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$  of the form  $\Delta = \{\pi, \pi(1), \dots, \pi(r-1)\}$  for some  $r \geq 1$ , and we write  $\Delta = [\pi, \pi(r-1)]$ .
  - (iii) We say that two segments  $\Delta_1, \Delta_2$  are linked if neither contains the other, and  $\Delta_1 \cup \Delta_2$  is also a segment.
  - (iv) If  $\Delta_1 = [\pi, \pi']$  and  $\Delta_2 = [\pi'', \pi''']$  are two segments, we say that  $\Delta_1$  precedes  $\Delta_2$  if they are linked and  $\pi'' = \pi(r)$  for some  $r \geq 0$ .

**Theorem 13** ([CEG<sup>+</sup>16] Bernstein-Zelevinsky). *Let  $P = MN$  be the Levi decomposition of the parabolic subgroup of  $G$  associated to the partition  $n = n_1 + \cdots + n_k$ .*

- (i) *Consider  $\sigma = \sigma_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \sigma_k$  where each  $\sigma_i$  is an irreducible supercuspidal representation of  $\mathrm{GL}_{n_i}(F)$ . The induction  $\mathrm{nInd}_P^G \sigma$  is reducible if and only if there exists  $i \neq j$  such that  $n_i = n_j$  and  $\sigma_i = \sigma_j(1)$ .*
- (ii) *Suppose  $m = n_1 = \cdots = n_k$  so that  $n = km$ . The induction  $\mathrm{nInd}_P^G \Delta$  of a segment  $\Delta = [\pi, \pi(k-1)]$  has a unique irreducible quotient, denoted  $Q(\Delta)$ .*
- (iii) *Consider segments  $\{\Delta_i\}_{i=1}^k$  where each  $Q(\Delta_i)$  is a representation of  $\mathrm{GL}_{n_i}(F)$  and so that  $\Delta_i$  does not precede  $\Delta_j$  whenever  $i < j$ . Then the induced representation  $\mathrm{nInd}_P^G(Q(\Delta_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes Q(\Delta_k))$  has a unique irreducible quotient, called the Langlands quotient, denoted either  $Q(\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_k)$  or  $Q(\Delta_1) \boxplus \cdots \boxplus Q(\Delta_k)$ . Any irreducible representation  $\pi$  of  $G$  is obtained uniquely in this way, up to a permutation of the  $\Delta_i$ .*

**Example 14.** *Let  $G = \mathrm{GL}_2(F)$ . Let  $P = MN$  be the Levi decomposition of the upper triangular Borel subgroup of  $G$ . We describe the Langlands quotient for each of the four families arising in the usual classification of irreducible admissible representation of  $\mathrm{GL}_2(F)$ . This classification can be found in [Bum97].*

- (i) *Let  $\chi_1, \chi_2$  be characters of  $F^\times$  so that  $\chi_1 \chi_2^{-1} \neq |\cdot|^{\pm 1}$ . Recall that in this case we have  $\mathrm{nInd}_P(\chi_1 \otimes \chi_2)$  is irreducible. Let  $\Delta_1 = \{\chi_1\}$  and  $\Delta_2 = \{\chi_2\}$ . We can write*

$$\mathrm{nInd}_P(\chi_1 \otimes \chi_2) = \mathrm{nInd}_P^G(Q(\Delta_1) \otimes Q(\Delta_2)) = Q(\Delta_1) \boxplus Q(\Delta_2).$$

*We remark that  $Q(\Delta_1) \boxplus Q(\Delta_2) \cong Q(\Delta_2) \boxplus Q(\Delta_1)$ .*

- (ii) *Let  $\chi_1, \chi_2$  be characters of  $F^\times$  so that  $\chi_1 \chi_2^{-1} = |\cdot|$ . Then there exists a character  $\chi$  such that  $\chi_1 = \chi |\cdot|^{1/2}$  and  $\chi_2 = \chi |\cdot|^{-1/2}$ . There is a short exact sequence*

$$0 \longrightarrow \chi \boxtimes \mathrm{St}_G \longrightarrow \mathrm{nInd}_P^G(\chi |\cdot|^{1/2} \otimes \chi |\cdot|^{-1/2}) \longrightarrow \chi \circ \det \longrightarrow 0.$$

*Let  $\Delta_1 = \{\chi |\cdot|^{1/2}\}$  and  $\Delta_2 = \{\chi |\cdot|^{-1/2}\}$ . Then*

$$\chi \circ \det = Q(\Delta_1) \boxplus Q(\Delta_2).$$

*We note that this is permitted, since  $\Delta_1$  does not precede  $\Delta_2$ .*

- (iii) *Let  $\chi_1, \chi_2$  be characters of  $F^\times$  so that  $\chi_1 \chi_2^{-1} = |\cdot|^{-1}$ . Then there exists a character  $\chi$  such that  $\chi_1 = \chi |\cdot|^{-1/2}$  and  $\chi_2 = \chi |\cdot|^{1/2}$ . There is a short exact sequence*

$$0 \longrightarrow \chi \circ \det \longrightarrow \mathrm{nInd}_P^G(\chi |\cdot|^{-1/2} \otimes \chi |\cdot|^{1/2}) \longrightarrow \chi \boxtimes \mathrm{St}_G \longrightarrow 0.$$

*Let  $\Delta = \{\chi |\cdot|^{-1/2}, \chi |\cdot|^{1/2}\}$ . Then*

$$\chi \boxtimes \mathrm{St}_G = Q(\Delta).$$

- (iv) *Let  $\sigma$  be an irreducible supercuspidal representation of  $G$ . Let  $\Delta = \{\sigma\}$ . Then*

$$\sigma = Q(\Delta).$$

## 2. LOCAL LANGLANDS CORRESPONDENCE

Let  $V = \mathbb{C}^n$ . Let  $N \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$  be the standard Jordan block of rank  $n - 1$ . Let  $\{v_0, \dots, v_{n-1}\}$  be the standard basis of  $V$ . Define a smooth representation  $\rho$  of  $W_F$  by  $\rho(x)v_i = |x|^i v_i$  for  $0 \leq i \leq n - 1$  and  $x \in W_F$ . We form the triple  $(\rho, V, N)$  which is a semisimple Weil-Deligne representation of  $W_F$ , denoted  $\mathrm{Sp}(n)$ .

Let  $\mathcal{G}_n(F)$  denote the set of equivalence classes of  $n$ -dimensional, semisimple, complex Weil-Deligne representations of the Weil group  $W_F$ . Let  $\mathcal{A}_n(F)$  denote the set of equivalence classes of irreducible smooth representations of  $G = \mathrm{GL}_n(F)$ .

**Theorem 15** ([BH06], [CEG<sup>+</sup>16] Local Langlands Correspondence for  $\mathrm{GL}_n$ ). *Let  $\psi$  be a non-trivial additive character of  $F$ . There is a unique map*

$$\mathrm{rec} : \mathcal{A}_n(F) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_n(F)$$

such that for all  $\pi \in \mathcal{A}_n(F)$  and all characters  $\chi$  of  $F^\times$ ,

- (i)  $L(\chi \otimes \mathrm{rec}(\pi), s) = L(\chi \boxtimes \pi, s)$ ,
- (ii)  $\varepsilon(\chi \otimes \mathrm{rec}(\pi), s, \psi) = \varepsilon(\chi \boxtimes \pi, s, \psi)$ .

The map is an isomorphism, and it respects parabolic induction in the following sense:

- (i) If  $\Delta = [\pi, \pi(r-1)]$  is a segment, then  $\mathrm{rec}(Q(\Delta)) = \mathrm{rec}(\pi) \otimes \mathrm{Sp}(r)$ ,
- (ii)  $\mathrm{rec}(Q(\Delta_1) \boxplus \cdots \boxplus Q(\Delta_k)) = \mathrm{rec}(Q(\Delta_1)) \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathrm{rec}(Q(\Delta_k))$ .

Recall that  $\oplus$  and  $\otimes$  are defined for Weil-Deligne representations as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} (\rho, V, N) \oplus (\sigma, W, M) &= (\rho \oplus \sigma, V \oplus W, N \oplus M), \\ (\rho, V, N) \otimes (\sigma, W, M) &= (\rho \otimes \sigma, V \otimes W, N \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes M). \end{aligned}$$

**Example 16.** Let  $G = \mathrm{GL}_2(F)$ . Let  $P = MN$  be the Levi decomposition of the upper triangular Borel subgroup of  $G$ . We use the same notation as Example 14.

- (i) Let  $\chi_1, \chi_2$  characters of  $F^\times$  so that  $\chi_1 \chi_2^{-1} \neq |-\|^{\pm 1}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_1 &= \{\chi_1\} \\ \Delta_2 &= \{\chi_2\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{rec}(Q(\Delta_1) \boxplus Q(\Delta_2)) &= \mathrm{rec}(Q(\Delta_1)) \oplus \mathrm{rec}(Q(\Delta_2)) \\ &= (\chi_1 \oplus \chi_2, \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C}, 0 \oplus 0) \\ &= (\chi_1 \oplus \chi_2, \mathbb{C}^2, 0) \end{aligned}$$

- (ii) Let  $\chi_1, \chi_2$  be characters of  $F^\times$  so that  $\chi_1 \chi_2^{-1} = |-\|$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_1 &= \{\chi |-\|^{1/2}\} \\ \Delta_2 &= \{\chi |-\|^{-1/2}\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{rec}(Q(\Delta_1) \boxplus Q(\Delta_2)) &= \mathrm{rec}(Q(\Delta_1)) \oplus \mathrm{rec}(Q(\Delta_2)) \\ &= (\chi |-\|^{1/2} \oplus \chi |-\|^{-1/2}, \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C}, 0 \oplus 0) \\ &= (\chi |-\|^{1/2} \oplus \chi |-\|^{-1/2}, \mathbb{C}^2, 0) \end{aligned}$$

- (iii) Let  $\chi_1, \chi_2$  be characters of  $F^\times$  so that  $\chi_1 \chi_2^{-1} = |-\|^{-1}$ .

$$\Delta = \{\chi |-\|^{-1/2}, \chi |-\|^{1/2}\}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{rec}(Q(\Delta)) &= \mathrm{rec}(\chi |-\|^{-1/2}) \otimes \mathrm{Sp}(2) \\ &= (\chi |-\|^{-1/2} \otimes (1 \oplus |-\|), \mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{C}^2, 0 \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}) \\ &= (\chi |-\|^{-1/2} \oplus \chi |-\|^{1/2}, \mathbb{C}^2, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}) \end{aligned}$$

(iv) Let  $\sigma$  be an irreducible supercuspidal representation of  $G$ . Then  $\Delta = \{\sigma\}$  and

$$\text{rec}(\sigma) = \text{rec}(Q(\Delta)) = \text{rec}(\sigma) \otimes \text{Sp}(1) = \text{rec}(\sigma).$$

So computing  $\text{rec}(\sigma)$  cannot be reduced to the case of  $\text{GL}_1$ , i.e. local class field theory. This tells us that there is some genuine work that needs to be done to figure out  $\text{rec}(\sigma)$ . In fact, the reciprocity map  $\text{rec}$  is completely determined by the images of irreducible supercuspidal representations. See [BH06] for more discussion.

#### REFERENCES

- [BH06] Colin J. Bushnell and Guy Henniart. *The local Langlands conjecture for  $\text{GL}(2)$* , volume 335 of *Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2006.
- [Bum97] Daniel Bump. *Automorphic forms and representations*, volume 55 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997.
- [CEG<sup>+</sup>16] Ana Caraiani, Matthew Emerton, Toby Gee, David Geraghty, Vytautas Paškūnas, and Sug Woo Shin. Patching and the  $p$ -adic local Langlands correspondence. *Camb. J. Math.*, 4(2):197–287, 2016.
- [GH11] Dorian Goldfeld and Joseph Hundley. *Automorphic representations and  $L$ -functions for the general linear group. Volume II*, volume 130 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2011. With exercises and a preface by Xander Faber.